### **RULE REPORT**

#### **Department of Commerce**

| Clearinghouse Rule No.: 08-037   |                        |  |
|--|------------------------|--|
| Rule No.: Chapter Comm 131   |                        |  |
| Relating to: Diesel Truck Idling R   | leduction Grants       |  |
| Contact person for substantive que   | estions:               | Contact person for internal processing:                  |
| Name Sam Rockweiler  | Name                   | (same)   |
| Title Code Development Consu   | ıltant Title           |  |
| Telephone Number _266-0797   | Teleph                 | one Number   |
| <ol> <li>Basis and purpose of the property of the property</li></ol> | make chapter Comm      | 131 consistent with changes that were made onsin Act 20. |
| 2. How the proposed rule adva  | ances relevant statuto | ory goals or purposes.                                   |
| The rules would implement diesel truck idling.   | the provisions in 200  | 07 Wisconsin Act 20 that address reduction of            |
| <ol> <li>Changes to the rule analysi</li> <li>No changes were made to to</li> </ol>  |                        | at was prepared for public hearing. e fiscal estimate.   |

## FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

#### **Department of Commerce**

|    | CLEARINGHOUSE RULE NO.: 08-037  |
|----|---|
|    | RULE NO.: Chapter Comm 131  |
|    | RELATING TO: Diesel Truck Idling Reduction Grants   |
|    | Final regulatory flexibility analysis not required. (Statement of determination required.)  |
|    |   |
| 1. | Reason for including or failing to include the following methods for reducing impact of the rule on small businesses: Less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; establishment of performance standards to replace design or operational standards; exemption from any or all requirements. |
|    | Less stringent compliance requirements are not proposed for small-business applicants because doing so would be contrary to the statutory objectives which are the basis for the proposed rule.   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
| 2. | Issues raised by small businesses during hearings, changes in proposed rules as a result of comments by small businesses and reasons for rejecting any alternatives suggested by small businesses.  |
|    | No issues were raised.  |
|    |   |

| 3. | Nature and estimated cost of preparation of any reports by small businesses.                               |
|----|--|
|    | No new reports would be required by the proposed rules.  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
| 4  | Notice and actimated aget of other managers and investments required of small businesses                   |
| 4. | Nature and estimated cost of other measures and investments required of small businesses.                  |
|    | No other measures and investments would be newly required by the proposed rules.                           |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
| 5. | Additional cost to agency of administering or enforcing a rule which includes any of the methods in 1. for |
| O. | reducing impact on small businesses.   |
|    | None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed rules.   |
|    | <b>9</b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •   |
|    |  |
|    |  |
| 6. | Impact on public health, safety and welfare caused by including any of the methods in 1. for reducing      |
|    | impact on small businesses.  |
|    | None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed rules.   |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |

# RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

#### **Department of Commerce**

| CLEARINGHOUSE RULE NO.: 08-037  |
|---|
| RULE NO.: Chapter Comm 131  |
| RELATING TO: Diesel Truck Idling Reduction Grants   |
| Agency contact person for substantive questions.  |
| Name: Sam Rockweiler  |
| Title: Code Development Consultant  |
| Telephone No266-0797  |
| Legislative Council report recommendations accepted in whole.  X Yes No                   |
| 1. Review of statutory authority [s. 227.15(2)(a)]  |
| a. Accepted   |
| b. Accepted in part   |
| c. Rejected   |
| d. Comments attached  |
| 2. Review of rules for form, style and placement in administrative code [s. 227.15(2)(c)] |
| a. Accepted   |
| b. Accepted in part   |
| c. Rejected   |
| d. Comments attached  |

(Continued on reverse side)

| 3. | Review rules for conflict with or duplication of existing rules [s. 227.15(2)(d)]                              |
|----|--|
|    | a. Accepted  |
|    | b. Accepted in part  |
|    | c. Rejected  |
|    | d. Comments attached   |
| 4. | Review rules for adequate references to related statutes, rules and forms [s. 227.15(2)(e)]                    |
|    | a. Accepted  |
|    | b. Accepted in part  |
|    | c. Rejected  |
|    | d. Comments attached   |
| 5. | Review language of rules for clarity, grammar, punctuation and plainness [s. 227.15(2)(f)]                     |
|    | a. Accepted  |
|    | b. Accepted in part  |
|    | c. Rejected  |
|    | d. Comments attached   |
| 6. | Review rules for potential conflicts with, and comparability to, related federal regulations [s. 227.15(2)(g)] |
|    | a. Accepted  |
|    | b. Accepted in part  |
|    | c. Rejected  |
|    | d. Comments attached   |
| 7. | Review rules for permit action deadline [s. 227.15(2)(h)]  |
|    | a. Accepted  |
|    | b. Accepted in part  |
|    | c. Rejected  |
|    | d. Comments attached   |